

Queens

BAR BULLETIN

Queens County Bar Association | qcba.org | 90-35 148th Street, Jamaica, NY 11435 | 718-291-4500

March 2021 | Volume 88, No. 5

Spring Brings Hope

By Clifford M. Welden



One year ago this week I sat in a packed TAP courtroom on the second floor of the Sutphin Boulevard courthouse waiting for my three cases to be called. The Supreme Court regulars were all grouped together in the last few rows by the window where we have been assembling for the last 30 years and the talk was about how crazy it was for us to all be packed in together and when the Office of Court Administration was going to cut back on the number of cases on the daily calendar. Social distancing was unheard of. Masks were unheard of. It was for all practical purposes business as usual and many were nervous as the pandemic spread across the state. Every night we watched the news and learned of horror stories regarding how this virus was suddenly taking lives and there was no indication from senior court officials that they intended to change procedures.

On April 8th the Daily News ran a story with pictures taken in the Brooklyn TAP courtroom on March 12th that showed us that what we were experiencing in Queens was much the same there. Judges were being told to conduct business as usual and that they were just following orders from above. Those orders resulted in the deaths of several judges and one of them was in that courtroom that day in Brooklyn. Judge Baynes had been

assigned to Queens for a short time and many of us appeared before him. He was a nice man and a good judge. One of the Queens regulars entered a hospital around the 13th and did not return home for 200 days. On the 16th we finally got the word that OCA was going to suspend in-person court operations and we collectively breathed a sigh of relief as many of our members began to work from home.

A year later and the vaccine has rolled out to those 60 years of age and older and those with underlying comorbidities. In the courts OCA has gone to great lengths to redesign a legal system in New York that incorporates the virtual platforms. Many of us have learned to conduct depositions and attend court appearances on our laptops and the consensus among most is that they are getting more work done in less time. Our Queens Judges under the leadership of Judge Zayas and Judge Grays have been great ambassadors in supporting these directives and have gone out of their way to inform us of changes as they are rolled out. Both Administrative Judges have appeared in meetings with our committee chairs who then have been relaying these changes to you. It has been nice to see old friends on these virtual motion calendar calls and at our monthly committee

meetings and virtual seminars.

On March 22nd we will begin the second stage of in person appearances in both the criminal and civil courts when jurors return to the building. We have been told that they will be looking for attorneys to volunteer to begin these trials. Juror notices have been mailed out and they will be asked to serve their community. They will not be tested as they enter the buildings. They will be asked several questions and will have their temperature taken. They will not be asked if they live at home with family members who the CDC would consider to be 'at risk' individuals. This is done in an effort to move cases that are the oldest in the courts. We are told that there will be enough vaccine for everyone in the country by June. To that end on March 1st we wrote to Governor Cuomo and Chief Judge asking that the jury rollout be delayed until a larger percentage of our jury pool is vaccinated. I also asked that they deem our Judges and court personnel as essential workers so that they can receive their vaccinations now so that when in-person appearances return the vaccine will be fully effective. I have not heard back from either of them.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 2



Table of Contents

President's Message	1, 2
Editor's Note	4, 6
The SB-1 Returning Resident Visa- 1 year out is all it takes!	5
The Practice Page: Putting Reply Papers In Perspective.....	8
The Next Wave of Presumptive ADR, "ODR" Online Dispute Resolution, is here.....	10
The resumption of a limited amount of jury trials, Compliance conferences and a comment on the premature filing of a note of issue	11,12
Bernard Lee Broome (1932 - 2021)	13

The Docket

Being the official notice of the meetings and programs listed below, which, unless otherwise noted, will be held at the Bar Association Building, 90-35 148th Street, Jamaica, NY. Due to unforeseen events, please note that dates listed in this schedule are subject to change. More information and changes will be made available to members via written notice and brochures. Questions? Please call 718-291-4500.

CLE Seminar & Event listings

FEBRUARY 2021

Tuesday, February 2	CLE: Remote Depositions-Reflections on What Works & What Does Not - 1:00 pm
Wednesday, February 10	Elder Law Committee Mtg - 2:30 pm
Friday, February 12	Lincoln's Birthday - Office Closed
Monday, February 15	President's Day - Office Closed
Tuesday, February 16	Academy of Law Comm Mtg - 1:00 pm

MARCH 2021

Tuesday, March 16	Academy of Law Comm Mtg
Monday, March, 22	Celebrating Women in the Law Past and Present - 1:00 pm
Wednesday, March 24	CLE: Discovery

Upcoming Seminars
Guardianship Training

New Members

Christina Anziano
Patrick D. Conway
Luciane S. de Andrade
Alexander Davis
Giuseppe G. LoBrutto
Lionel Lumas
Daniel A. Rudolf
Ahlam Rafita
Johanna Sanders



Spring Has Arrived

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 1

Bar Business:

The search for the Executive Director returned close to 100 inquiries and the search committee is going to begin interviewing several candidates beginning March 16th. Filling Arthur Terranova's shoes will not be an easy but we feel that there are several solid candidates who may work out well with the Bar during this transitional period in the practice of law and our association. Our diversity committee will be holding the inaugural "Celebration of Diversity in the Courts" on March 18th at 6pm featuring several of our sitting judges. The 24th brings the Criminal Courts Committee CLE on Discovery issues. Moving into April we will have the first of a two-part Equitable Distribution Update on the 15th sponsored by our new partner HFM Valuations and Consulting Services. The Second update will be on April 22nd and is sponsored by East Coast Appraisal which is a longtime partner of the Bar association. Strategically placed between these two Equitable Distribution presentations on April 19th will be the Annual Judiciary, Past Presidents and Golden Jubilarian Night where we will honor those who have given so much to our Queens County legal community. On February 15th the Gestational Surrogacy Law became effective in New York. Gestational surrogacy is when an embryo is created by in vitro fertilization, where an egg is fertilized by sperm in a test tube or outside the body, and is implanted in a paid surrogate who will then deliver the baby. Gestational surrogacy was banned for many years and originally stemmed from the "Baby M" case in New Jersey where a surrogate named Mary Beth Whitehead agreed to carry a child for \$10,000.00. After the baby was born the surrogate refused to sign away her parental rights. A court ruled that such a contract was illegal and awarded custody to her and visitation rights. The subsequent ban in New York created problems for couples with fertility issues and LGBTQ couples. Board members Josh Katz and Deborah Garibaldi will lead the discussion on the ramifications of the recently passed law which provides women acting as surrogates with legal and health protections and protects intended parents and egg donors. It will touch upon the criteria for surrogacy agreements and the Surrogate's Bill of Rights.

For safety's sake we have put off our Annual Dinner in May but we will have it later in the year during the month of October when it is expected that most will have been able to obtain the Covid vaccination. A virtual instillation is planned for June 1 that will suffice until we can meet together as one group. We are also going forward with September golf outing at Garden City Country Club on Stewart Avenue. David Cohen the long-time chair of this event has confirmed that the club is ready to abide by all safety guidelines and is looking forward to welcoming us back once again.

Please stay safe, enjoy the upcoming holidays and I hope to see you in one of our presentations.

2020-2021 Officers and Board of Managers of the Queens County Bar Association

President – Clifford M. Welden
President-Elect - Frank Bruno, Jr.
Vice President - Adam Moses Orlow
Secretary - Zenith T. Taylor
Treasurer - Michael D. Abneri

Class of 2020
Gregory J. Newman
Deborah M. Garibaldi
Jeffrey D. Lebowitz
Michael Serres
Elizabeth Yablon

Class of 2022
Kristen J. Dubowski Barba
Charles A. Giudice
Richard Michael Gutierrez
Janet Keller
Andrea S. Ogle

Class of 2023
Alla Allison Ageyeva
Marie-Eleana First
Joshua R. Katz
Michael Kohan
Joel Serrano

Queens Bar Bulletin

Executive Director
Arthur N. Terranova

Queens Bar Bulletin Editor
Paul E. Kerson

Associate Editors: Stephen D. Fink and Richard N. Golden

Publisher:
Queens Public Media, LLC, under the auspices of Queens County Bar Association. The Queens Bar Bulletin is published monthly from October to May. All rights reserved. Material in this publication may not be stored or reproduced in any form without permission.

©2020
The Queens County Bar Association
Advertising Office:
Queens Daily Eagle
8900 Sutphin Boulevard, LL11,
Jamaica, Queens, NY 11435
(718) 422-7412

Send letters and editorial copy to:
Queens Bar Bulletin 90-35 148th Street, Jamaica, NY 11435
Editor's Note: Articles appearing in the Queens Bar Bulletin represent the views of the respective authors and do not necessarily carry the endorsement of the Association, the Board of Managers, or the Editorial Board of the Queens Bar Bulletin.

"Queens Bar Bulletin"
(USPS Number: 452-520) is published monthly except June, July, August, and September by Queens Public Media, LLC, 8900 Sutphin Boulevard, LL11, Jamaica, NY 11435, under the auspices of the Queens County Bar Association. Entered as periodical postage paid at the Post Office at Jamaica, New York and additional mailing offices under the Act of Congress. Postmaster send address changes to the Queens County Bar Association, 90-35 148th Street, Jamaica, NY 11435.



Big Apple Abstract Corp.

Lawrence M. Litwack, Esq.

Margaret T. Ling, Esq.

Senior Counsel



Guardian Land, LLC

A Division of Big Apple Abstract Corp.

Barry Wasserman
(1934 - 2019)

Marvin Simms
(1932 - 2019)



Steadfast Title Agency, LLC

A Division of Big Apple Abstract Corp.

Nikon Limberis
Counsel

Vincent J. Monte, LLC

A Division of Big Apple Abstract Corp.

- Serving the Legal and Real Estate Communities since 1980
- Specializing in residential/commercial transactions in today's difficult market: short sales and foreclosure proceedings
- Focusing on our client's specific title and non-title insurance needs, as well as preparation of detailed ACRIS and other pertinent documents
- Knowledgeable, experienced "In-house" staff and title closers

Sales Representatives:

Mitchell Applebaum Susan Lovett

Lisa Feinstein Larry "Cousin" Litwack John G. Lopresto

William Sena Moneesh Bakshi

Visit us at: www.bigappleabstract.com

42-40 Bell Boulevard, Bayside, New York 11361

(718) 428-6100 (516) 222-2740 (212) 751-3225 Facsimile: (718) 428-2064



Editor's Note

Meet our New Government and Spiritual Home — ICANN

By Paul E. Kerson

The Roman Empire fell. Or did it? They had no separation of church and state. Yet the Roman Catholic (universal) Church continues around the world.

The British Empire fell. Or did it? The United Kingdom and British Commonwealth countries also do not have separation of church and state. Yet the Anglican Church continues around the world.

The Kingdom of Israel fell multiple times. The current State of Israel also does not have separation of synagogue and state. Yet Jewish communities continue around the world despite a sad history of oppression, destruction and death.

The current United States Federal Government in Washington, DC reached the peak of its military power relative to the rest of the world in 1945. Its embassies reached around the globe, and still do today.

Its Department of Defense (DOD) wanted a new system of guidance to link its computers to guide its most powerful atomic weapons. DOD's Advanced Research Projects Agency (ARPA) was born in 1958.

Computers were then in their infancy. They took up entire rooms, and were monumentally slow by today's standards. A search that today takes fractions of a second then took all day.

Ten years later, in 1968, DOD's ARPA decided to fund the computer scientists at the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), Stanford University's Stanford Research Institute (SRI), the University of California, Santa Barbara (UCSB) and the University of Utah.

The idea was to try to electronically link the UCLA, SRI, UCSB, and Utah computers so the computers themselves could exchange information, thus cutting down the time it took to search in each computer by using underutilized time in the other three linked computers among all four.

UCLA's Prof. Leonard Kleinrock, Charley Kline, SRI's Bill Duvall, Steve Crocker and Vint Cerf were the pioneers. On Oct. 29, 1969, Charley tried to type "Login" but only got to L-O before the whole thing crashed. They worked out the bugs. Soon after, SRI's Doug Engelbart introduced the mouse, hypertext and graphics.

The Internet was born. It linked only four massive computers in four universities in California and Utah. It was initially called ARPANET, after the DOD agency that funded it.

To work out the inevitable conflicts and turf wars, Steve Crocker came up with a method. Any necessary corrections required a "Request for Comment" to be

circulated among all four university computer science departments. Then the problem noted would be talked out until a solution was reached. See Jeff Ball, *The Tangled Web We Weave — Inside the Shadow System that Shapes the Internet*, Melville House Publishing, Brooklyn, NY and London, 2020, pages 13-15, 23-24.

Tim Berners-Lee of the Computer Science Departments of Oxford University in England and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) in Boston figured out a way to extend ARPANET from military and theoretical uses to ordinary consumer uses in 1989. He called this the World Wide Web (WWW). See Ball, pages 33, 46 and Google, Wikipedia, Tim Berners-Lee.

By 1970, what is today known as the INTERNET had but 10 computers linked. By 1977, it was 100. By 1985, 1000. There were 10,000 linked computers by 1987, and 100,000 by 1990. This expansion reached one million by 1993. Today, in 2021, half the world's population has personal computers or cell phones linked to the INTERNET, estimated at 25 to 30 BILLION, or four devices per person. See Ball, page 34.

Since March 2020, our world has been gripped by the Covid-19 pandemic. More and more of Life Itself has migrated to the Internet: Court conferences by Microsoft Teams; Bar Association, church, synagogue and mosque services and family gatherings by Zoom. Even before Covid-19, bank and credit card transactions went on-line; cash has become a rarity.

So who or what governs the Internet, that unfathomable world-wide 25-30 BILLION interconnected Everything Invention of Leonard Kleinrock, Charley Kline, Bill Duvall, Steve Crocker, Vint Cerf, Doug Engelbart and Tim Berners-Lee?

The answer is: ICANN, the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers, a California not-for-profit corporation headquartered in Los Angeles, not far from UCLA, where the whole Brand New Internet World started. Jon Postel, who worked on the first ARPANET, was instrumental in founding ICANN in 1998, just 23 years ago. Pioneer Vint Cerf was the first Chair. Fellow visionary Steve Crocker succeeded him.

Since 2016, the Chief Executive Officer of ICANN is Goran Marby, a Swedish regulator. Marby denies being the Most Important Person in the world today. The 303 page By-Laws of ICANN, adopted pursuant to the California State law governing non-profit public benefit corporations, is now our world's set of guiding principles governing the bulk of our court

proceedings, religious services, family events and commercial transactions.

Morby admits, however, that the Internet does not have an Off button. It is always there, for every purpose unto heaven. See Ball, page 64-65, 84-85.

The 303 page By-Laws of ICANN are now just as important to our world as the United States Constitution or the United Nations Charter.

Guess who is a Member of ICANN's Governmental Advisory Committee (GAC)?

1. The Holy See, (the Pope, Vatican City),
2. The United Kingdom, including the Queen of England and Defender of the Faith
3. The State of Israel
4. The United States Federal Government
5. The People's Republic of China
6. The Russian Federation
7. 103 additional members of The United Nations,
8. The European Commission,
9. The Cook Islands,
10. Niue
11. Taiwan
12. Hong Kong
13. Montserrat
14. The African Union Commission

ICANN'S GAC Observers include the following:

1. African Telecommunications Union
2. Asia-Pacific Telecommunity
3. Caribbean Telecommunications Union
4. Commonwealth Telecommunications Organization
5. Council of Europe
6. Economic Commission for Africa
7. European Broadcasting Union
8. European Organization of Nuclear Research
9. European Space Agency
10. International Labour Office
11. International Telecommunications Union
12. International Criminal Police Organization (INTERPOL)
13. International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement
14. Latin American Association of Telecom Regulatory Agencies
15. League of Arab States
16. New Partnership for Africa's Development
17. The Organization for Islamic Cooperation
18. The Organization of American States

CONTINUED ON PAGE 6

ABRAMS **AF** FENSTERMAN

Abrams, Fensterman, Fensterman, Eisman, Formato, Ferrara, Wolf & Carone, LLP

Attorneys at Law

Abrams Fensterman welcomes



Jeffrey D. Lebowitz, Esq.,
NYS Supreme Court Justice, Ret.
Of Counsel

Judge Lebowitz is available to advise clients in all areas of law, including Matrimonial, Commercial Litigation & Criminal Law.

3 Dakota Dr., Ste. 300 Lake Success, NY 11042 | 516.328.2300
jlebowitz@abramslaw.com | www.abramslaw.com



Duffy & Posillico Agency Inc.

Court Bond Specialists

Angela Chiodo

Customer Service Representative

1 Birchwood Court

P.O. Box 749

Mineola, NY 11501

angela@duffybonds.com

T: (516) 741-3730 014 • F: (516) 741-8311



LABOR / EMPLOYMENT LAW FIRM FOR EMPLOYERS

PROTECTING BUSINESS OWNERS SINCE 1979

STEPHEN D. HANS

& ASSOCIATES, P.C.

LABOR & EMPLOYMENT COUNCIL OVER 40 YEARS

- Wage & Hour Lawsuits • NYS/US Department of Labor Audits & Investigation
- Defense of Employee Discrimination Claims • All Federal Courts • EEOC • NYS DHR
- NLRB - Anti-Union Representation • Collective Bargaining
- Compliance Assistance • Forms • Instruction • Analysis
- General Labor/Employment Law Defense Representation

718-275-6700

www.hansassociates.com • 30-30 Northern Blvd. Suite 401. Long Island City NY 11101

Meet our New Government and Spiritual Home — ICANN

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 4

19. The Organisation Internationale de la Francophonie
20. Pacific Islands Forum
21. Secretariat of the Pacific Community
22. Inter-American Telecommunication Commission
23. International Criminal Court
24. United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)
25. Universal Postal Union
26. World Bank
27. World Health Organization
28. World Meteorological Organization
29. World Trade Organization, See Google, Wikipedia, ICANN

In short, THE WHOLE WORLD, including its former Empires and colonies, whether or not triumphant or defeated.

The ICANN By-laws, Article 1, states its Mission, Commitments, and Core Values: “to ensure the stable and secure operation of the Internet’s unique identifier systems”

And the method by which ICANN accomplishes the ongoing conflict over who gets what Internet address? Why they use the original “Request for Comment” method of exactly four computers at UCLA, SCI, UCSB and the University of Utah in 1969.

Big money and fundamental values ride on these decisions. Who got .Amazon? Why was .sucks not assigned initially despite multi-million dollar pressure to do so? Why did Catalonia, the restive province of Spain seeking independence, get .cat and not the cat lovers of the world? See Ball, page 73, Google, .sucks, and Google, Catalonia.

ICANN has established a Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy Arbitration procedure (UDRP). The ICANN Arbitrators’ written decisions are available on Westlaw, just as if they were in the New York Supplement, Federal Supplement, Federal Reporter or Supreme Court Reporter. If you want an internet domain name from ICANN, you must agree to submit any disputes about it to ICANN’s UDRP Arbitrators. See Google, Wikipedia, Uniform Domain-Name Dispute Resolution Policy.

Wait a minute, hold on, you say. This is contrary to everything I know about how the judicial system is structured. When did a California State non-profit corporation get the right to supervise judicial decision-making about the world’s most important resource, the Internet, which controls every aspect of our lives now, and can never get turned off?

Isn’t the United States Federal Government in charge of this sort of thing? What happened to Article III of the United States Constitution concerning the Federal judiciary?

Originally, DOD was in charge as the funder of the original ARPANET at UCLA, SRI, UCSB and the University of Utah in 1969. DOD handed this off to the U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC), which signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with ICANN in 1998. This MOU has been amended numerous times since then.

Where is the Government of China in all of this? The 2017 Opinion and Order of Judge Edgardo Ramos of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York in *Wiecan Meng v. Xinhuanet Co., Ltd.*, 2017 WL 3175609 (S.D.N.Y. 2017) gives the answer.

Apparently, one of the Communist China’s main publishers, China Xinhua News Agency d/b/a Xinhuanet Co. Ltd., had set up shop in Times Square, New York City, right down the block from the headquarters of The New York Times at 620 Eighth Avenue. They owned 31 local channels and released daily global news

in many languages.

Defendant had registered its Internet domain name with ICANN in Los Angeles, Plaintiff, a Chinese resident of Great Neck, NY, registered his similar domain name with Name.com, Inc. Plaintiff also published daily website articles and a hardcopy magazine. Defendant filed a complaint with ICANN’s Beijing affiliate pursuant to ICANN’s Rules for Uniform Name Dispute Resolution policy. The Arbitrator ruled in favor of Defendant.

The U.S. District Court held that plaintiff’s complaint did not make out a case for unfair and deceptive competition under Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. Sections 41-58.

So, China may not allow free speech in its own country, but it does not hesitate to come to our Capital of Free Speech at Times Square, and try to shut down one of its dissidents who had the good sense to emigrate to Great Neck, NY.

But then again, Arbitration is a preferred method of resolving commercial disputes. I have heard our judges tell me that dozens of times. And through a California non-profit corporation, ICANN, we managed to export that idea to Beijing.

The Roman and British Empires did not have world-wide court systems. American Federal Courts do not reach the whole world. In the United Nations’ International Court of Justice in The Hague, Netherlands, only nation-states can be litigants. But California’s ICANN has managed to establish a world-wide mandatory court system for every individual and company with a domain name. Given current trends, that will soon be every individual soul and group of souls on this earth.

So, as Bud Abbott and Lou Costello of Paterson, New Jersey would say, “Who’s on first?”

I’d say we all are, the whole planet.

This series of highly unlikely events shows that a significant part of our United States Federal Government has been moved from Washington, DC into a California State non-profit public benefit corporation with worldwide power, ICANN. These developments show that it is not China that will ever supplant Washington’s power — it is California that has already done that.

Here is where we are today: ICANN represents a tectonic shift in the meaning of national government. California, operating through its non-profit corporation, ICANN, is similar to Hong Kong and Taiwan. All three now act internationally, despite a larger national government that claims to be on top.

Colleges and Law Schools — time to revamp the curriculum and teach ICANN’s California State By-laws as equally significant as the United States Constitution and the United Nations Charter. Or did you think the Internet was a joke?

Try turning it off.

“He shall judge between the nations and shall settle the arguments for many peoples; they shall beat their swords into plowshares and their spears into pruning hooks; nation shall not lift up sword against nation, neither shall they learn war more.” Isaiah 2:3-4 (from the Old Testament, the Hebrew Bible)

Really? “He shall judge between the nations, and shall settle the arguments for many peoples” — Did He mean the ICANN Arbitrators, the ones whose decisions are now all on Westlaw — the Arbitrators



QBB Editor Paul Kerson and Former QBB Editor and Retired Queens County Supreme Court Justice Martin Ritholtz in Jerusalem, Dec. 2019

agreed to by the Holy See, the Defender of the Faith of the Anglican Church, the State of Israel, the United States Federal Government, the People’s Republic of China and its two independent provinces Taiwan and Hong Kong, the Russian Federation and everybody else too?

They all agreed on ICANN’s set of Arbitrators?

And the Pentagon, seeking better “guidance” for atomic doomsday weapons started all this?

The Prophet Isaiah called this, sometime between 740 BCE and 686 BCE. See Google, Wikipedia, Isaiah.

I am not making this up. No one person could. I just saw it first.

Former Editor’s Note on Editor’s Note

Sitting here in Jerusalem, I was tickled pink to receive a phone call from Paul regarding something that he wrote for the Bulletin In effect, he was seeking my opinion as to the proper translation of Isaiah 2:4, which he quotes in the Note. At the end of our animated discussion regarding the substance of his novel thesis regarding the Internet, and ICANN in particular, he asked me to write a few words.

Paul sums up his Note by writing: “I am not making this up. No person could I just saw it.” In truth, my dear friend, Paul, like Isaiah, is a visionary. To paraphrase Isaiah 11:2, a Divine Spirit rests upon him- a spirit of wisdom and understanding, a spirit of counsel and strength, a spirit of knowledge....When he visited me here in Jerusalem on Chanuka, December 2019, he revealed to me his vision of a metropolitan railway which would unite middle eastern countries as a means of promoting peace in the region. He eventually sent me the article, which you can surely obtain from him, and which envisioned the Abraham Accords initiative.

There’s not much more that I can add. Just, appreciate that Paul’s latest note on ICANN, is another testament to his uncanny perspective on our present, and on our future.



The SB-1 Returning Resident Visa- 1 year out is all it takes!

Once an individual is granted permanent residence they are allowed to travel in and out of the U.S. without any problem as long as they are not relinquishing permanent residence or residing abroad which may indicate abandoning Lawful Permanent Residence. Permanent residents who wish to reenter the U.S need to present their green card and passport from their country of citizenship to reenter, as long as the duration of the trip is less than 6 months. Within 6 months, people are generally considered to have taken a vacation or short trip abroad and are returning home to the US.

If the trip is longer than 6 months but shorter than 1 year, the person is considered to be seeking readmission and may be asked questions regarding the trip to see whether or not they have abandoned their residence while abroad. If the duration of the trip is more than one year, and the applicant knew before departing that they would be out of the United States for more than one year, then they may consider applying for a Re-entry permit which will allow them to stay out of the United States for up to two years with the ability to reenter multiple times if needed or just one time within the two year period. However, there are some people, who get caught outside the US and just cannot return before the 1 year is completed. These people generally had no idea that they would need to be out as long as

they are or cannot help it to return with one year. Technically speaking, being outside the US for one year or more effectively abandons once green card status.

A permanent resident of the U.S. remained outside of the U.S. for more than one year without obtaining a reentry permit can either go through the entire green card process all over again or they may apply for a returning resident visa also known as a SB-1 visa. With an SB-1 Visa, issued by a consular post, the individual will be able to retain their residence and return to the United States as a Permanent Residence without interruption. To apply for SB-1 visa a returning resident must show proof of the resident's continued unbroken ties to the U.S. and that the trip was extended as a result of events beyond their control. Some examples for reasons why an extended stay abroad was necessary are: illness, death, pregnancy, or permission was not granted to leave the foreign country.

A permanent resident who wants to enter the U.S. after staying abroad for an extended period of time can be eligible for a SB-1 visa if:

- At the time of departure from the U.S. the individual was a lawful permanent resident;
- When leaving the U.S., the individual did not leave with the intention of not coming back;
- When an individual returns to the U.S. after an

extended stay abroad, the extended stay was due to circumstances beyond their control and for which they are not responsible for; and

- The individual is eligible for the SB-1 visa in all other aspects.

There are a few individuals who do not need the SB-1 visa even if they have been outside of the U.S for more than two years. They are spouses and children of a member of the U.S. Armed Forces or civilian employees of the U.S. government stationed abroad. If an individual falls within those two categories, then they may use their Permanent Resident Card to enter the U.S. even if it expired.

The processing time for the SB-1 is usually quite quick and decision is given at interview. More recently, in a "covid world", additional steps are required by consular posts which can be done before interview or after interview if the SB-1 is granted. Namely, a new DS-260 and new Police Clearance Certificates, and Possibly a new Immigration Medical. If an individual's application for the SB-1 visa is denied, then they will not be allowed to enter the U.S. again without another valid visa.

AND DEV B. VISWANATH, ESQ.

THE BANAD LAW OFFICES, P.C.

U.S. IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY LAW

DEV@BANADLAW.COM WWW.BANADLAW.COM

PHONE: 718.361.5999

FAX: 718.937.1222



DEV BANAD VISWANATH, ESQ.

USA OFFICES

233 BROADWAY, SUITE 2040
THE WOOLWORTH BUILDING
NEW YORK, NY 10279

186-09, UNION TURNPIKE, 2ND FL.
FRESH MEADOWS, NY 11366

INDIA OFFICES BANAD IMMIGRATION

BARTON CENTRE, 5 TH FLOOR
SUITE 510, 84 M.G. ROAD
BANGALORE 560001, INDIA
TEL- 08030893291
FAX - 08030893293

BLDG NO 60, GROUND FLOOR,
FLAT NO 2682, GANDHI NAGAR,
AHEAD OF MIG CRICKET CLUB,
BANDRA EAST, MUMBAI 400051.
TEL - 022-2673-0893
022-2640-1140

(USA - INDIA LINE): 001-718-766-8606

{ LOYALTY & DEVOTION TO CARRY YOU THROUGH YOUR CASE! }

U.S. IMMIGRATION & NATIONALITY LAW FOR NEW YORK, NEW JERSEY, PENNSYLVANIA, CONNECTICUT AND THE ENTIRE U.S. AND THE WORLD





The Practice Page

Putting Reply Papers In Perspective

Reply papers are usually not the central focus of attorneys engaged in motion practice. The reason is obvious — that the parties’ main evidence and arguments have already been placed before the court in the initial moving papers and opposition. This is not to say that reply papers do not have a valuable purpose. They can, and sometimes motions cannot be won without them.

Summary judgment motions have a special rule for replies. As is well known, the proponent of summary judgment on a cause of action or defense must tender evidence in admissible form establishing its prima facie entitlement to judgment as a matter of law. All of the qualifying evidence must be contained in the initial moving papers. The mission of the opposing party is to raise a question of fact requiring trial. Reply papers cannot be used to establish, for the first time, the party’s prima facie burden of proof, as any failure to establish it cannot be cured by later reply submissions. Reply papers are intended to voice a rebuttal to new issues raised in the opposition papers that immediately precede them, and should present new matter only to the extent of addressing the opponent’s evidence or arguments.

Parties opposing summary judgment, absent the filing of their own cross-motion, do not have the benefit of a sur-reply under the provisions of CPLR 2214. However, the courts have discretion to permit a sur-reply that addresses an issue or evidence raised by the movant for the first time in reply, under circumstances where the court finds it provident to do so. Arguments raised by the movant in favor of summary judgment for the first time in reply, while technically improper, may still be considered by the court if it then permits the opposing party a sur-reply to address them and which cures the defect.

An opponent of summary judgment who makes a cross-motion for summary judgment is entitled under CPLR 2215 to submit a reply in further support of its cross-motion, but once again, all proof needed to meet the prima facie burden of proof must be tendered in the original cross-moving papers.

Reply papers may also be used to correct technical imperfections with the initial moving papers, regardless of the relief being sought. For instance, if a deposition transcript is submitted without a signature page and would be inadmissible, the signature page may be provided in reply to cure the defect. Similarly, where

a certificate of conformity is needed for the admissibility of an out-of-state affidavit in support of the initial motion, any defect with the certificate can be rectified in reply. Where a foreign language witness submits an affidavit without a corresponding one from a translator, that defect may be cured with a translator’s affidavit in reply. If the pleadings are not attached to the moving papers as required by CPLR 3212(b) for summary judgment, and the opposing party argues for the denial of the motion on that basis, the moving party may cure the defect by attaching the pleadings in reply. In other words, as long as the prima facie evidence is submitted in the substance of the initial papers, admissibility or other technical defects may be corrected in the reply.

Attorneys should be mindful of the time frames within which reply papers are due, as set forth in CPLR 2214(b) for motions and CPLR 2215 for cross-motions, which vary according to how the initial moving papers are served upon the opponent party.

BY HON. MARK C. DILLON
APPELLATE DIVISION, 2ND DEPT.



Instropect Investigations USA, Inc.

NYS DOS LIC #11000066161 - Est. 1998

Full Service Agency Specializing in:

- Asset Investigations
- Background, Due Diligence & KYC Investigations
- Employment & Tenant Screening
- Estate & Probate Investigations
- Locating Heirs & Witnesses
- NAIC & NYS DOFS Backgrounds
- Public Record Searches/Retrievals

Contact us @

PH: 1-800-847-7177, email requests@intropectusa.com

visit us @ www.intropectusa.com

752A Hempstead Tpk, Suite 205, Franklin Square,
(Nassau County) NY 11010



Elder Care Services, Inc.

MEDICAID PROFESSIONALS



Jack Lippmann

Over 18 years experience filing Community and Nursing Home Medicaid applications, and at the same time protect the applicants income, life savings and homes.

FREE Consultation – 718-575-5700



www.eldercareservicesny.com

**108-18 Queens Blvd. Suite: 801,
Forest Hills, NY 11375**

LaMacchia / Marx Team

Licensed Associate Real Estate Brokers



30 Years Experience
Sales, Estates,
1031Exchange



Deborah A. LaMacchia
631.691.9771

dlamacchia@bhsusa.com

Joseph A. Marx
917.627.6332

jmarx@bhsusa.com

Brown Harris Stevens – Forest Hills, LLC

108-23 Ascan Avenue, Forest Hills NY 11375

Care / Service / Professionalism since 1873

BrownHarrisStevens.com

To Advertise in the QCBA Bulletin

Please Contact Michael Nussbaum at (917) 783-0649,
or email: michael@queenspublicmedia.com

To our current advertisers,
Thank you for your continued support of the
Queens Bar Association and it’s Bulletin!

**We specialize in
AMERICAN LITERATURE
used and out of
print books**



Email and Phone Orders Are Welcomed!

Like us on 

By Appointment Only!




Rated one of the best Book Shops in Queens! With excellent Customer Service!



bookbums@aol.com
104-29 Jamaica Ave., Richmond Hill, NY 11418
718-441-1199

ATTENTION: Attorneys
Do You Have Unused Office Space Available?



We Can Reach the Queens Legal Market on your behalf

**Space to Share, List or Lease?
Call us at 718-422-7409**

CONTACT:



Michael Nussbaum
917.783.0649
718.422.7409



Robert C. Intelisano & Associates, Inc.
VOTED BEST IN QUEENS



BEST Financial Advisor 2019 and 2020
BEST Insurance Agency 2019 and 2020
BEST Insurance Agent 2019 and 2020




917-359-3985

Rob@insurancedoctor.us

Contact: www.InsuranceDoctor.us



Ronald Fatoullah & Associates



Refer your clients to us with confidence. For over 30 years Ronald Fatoullah & Associates has been helping New Yorkers meet their eldercare and estate planning needs

- ELDER LAW
- ESTATE PLANNING
- TRUSTS & WILLS
- MEDICAID PLANNING & APPLICATIONS
- GUARDIANSHIPS
- SPECIAL NEEDS PLANNING
- PROBATE
- ESTATE & GUARDIANSHIP LITIGATION

QUEENS — LONG ISLAND — MANHATTAN — BROOKLYN

718-261-1700 1-877-ELDERLAW 1-877-ESTATES

The Next Wave of Presumptive ADR, “ODR” Online Dispute Resolution, is here

In September 2020 the New York Court system issued a press release rolling out new tools to facilitate the expanded use of mediation as a form of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR). The tools, offered online, include a statewide mediator directory, a statewide mediator application and a post-mediation survey. It now appears that the 2020 press release foreshadowed an even greater push towards the use of online dispute resolution means.

On January 27, 2021 the New York Court system issued a press release announcing the launch, in Manhattan’s Civil Court, of an online dispute resolution (ODR) pilot program for eligible small claims matters. Cases filed both in person and online, via an ODR link made available on the Civil Court’s website, will be screened for eligibility, with eligible cases referred to the ODR platform. This platform uses various ODR methods such as double-blind bidding and guiding algorithms to lead parties towards settlement. Opportunities for direct negotiation and mediation will also be available. If the parties reach an agreement the platform offers an opportunity to electronically sign a settlement agreement and to deliver that document to the Civil Court’s dashboard. The case will be marked settled on the court’s calendar. The platform also boasts an educational component.

Online Dispute Resolution, although vast, is not a separate discipline from that of ADR. It is instead an interdisciplinary field which, in its most simple definition, is a form of ADR that uses technology to facilitate dispute resolution between parties. This definition helps to conceptualize ODR, but it does not encompass all that ODR is, and not everyone using the expression has the same thing in mind. Given the court’s advancement into ADR through ODR, attorneys, again, need to become versed in this discipline as well. Some insight into ODR and its many variants is offered here.

Given the virtual world that the courts have been plunged into due to the pandemic, most envision ODR as replicating the conventional forms of mediation and arbitration online through platforms such as Microsoft

Teams. That is to say having a human mediator or arbitrator present in one of the “Brady Bunch” like boxes on your Teams meeting screen, while supplementing communication and information production via email exchanges. Essentially conducting what would have traditionally been done in-person to an online, virtual venue, mimicking the methods courts have been using to conduct bench trials, arraignments, calendar calls, hearings and conferences. There is a familiarity here since the online process mirrors that of the live or off-line process.

This is, in fact, how ODR got its start in the late-1990s, early 2000s as a response to disputes arising from the expansion of eCommerce. However, once a process moves online its nature begins to change, and it starts to lose that familiarity. Technology, dubbed “the fourth party” in ODR processes, alters the interaction between the parties, and introduces new possibilities for helping them reach resolution. Technology based ODR has two methods: automated and assisted.

Automated ODR involves systems in which the technology takes over the negotiation. Blind-bidding services offered by companies such as Smartsettle and Cybersettle are an example of this. In blind-bidding, parties submit offers for settlement via a computer program. The offers are not revealed to the opposing party. The program determines if the offers are within a proximity range set ahead of time by the software or the parties, also known as the ZOPA (zone of possible agreement), and if they are, the dispute can be resolved by splitting the difference between the offers or accepting a package within that ZOPA. If the offers are not within the ZOPA, they are not disclosed, and the program ushers the users forward in the offer-making process. This negotiation can continue for a set number of rounds or indefinitely depending on the system. Here, ODR becomes an exchange of proposals culminating in a matched offer, a split difference, or an impasse. These programs work best for cases that involve single, uncomplicated issues focused on damages rather than liability.

Evolving from blind-bidding were more advanced ODR models in which the software assists in the negotiation and plays a role closer to that of a human mediator. The leading example in assisted ODR is Square Trade, which expanded on the types of disputes these platforms could serve. Instead of only asking for offers, these systems provide the parties with an opportunity to file and describe their claims by selecting from various pull-down menus and completing open-text boxes. Generic and standardized forms help to categorize disputes, focus grievances, and tailor resolutions. Algorithms using information provided by these menus, boxes and forms then suggest solutions that the user can rank. This information is emailed to the other party who participates in the same exercise. The “conversation” is shaped by the software and occurs via chat features resulting in a type of “mediated negotiation” with technology serving as the mediator. Resolution is expected through this process, but if an agreement is not reached the program offers the opportunity to engage in a process more akin to online virtual mediation. Other companies have expanded further on this model; one even boasting of a “Fairness Engine” consisting of various modules geared specifically toward dispute diagnosis, negotiation, mediation and arbitration.

As the nature of technology is to develop, ODR platforms will surely continue to grow in their approach and offerings for online dispute resolution. Some have even suggested that artificial intelligence will make an entrance, replacing humans with avatars or holograms that are programmed to facilitate dispute resolution and problem solving. Nevertheless, we are not there yet and a discussion as to whether ODR presently and its eventual progression to its fully realized potential is beneficial or detrimental is left for another time. Of importance now, is that much like the implementation of Presumptive ADR, the court is moving forward with ODR and attorneys need to adjust and be ready for it.

BY JUDGE CLAUDIA LANZETTA

JM Associates

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS™

Michael P. Jennings, CPA.

Tel: 718-281-4050 | Fax: 718-281-4051

michaelj@myjmcpa.com | www.myjmcpa.com

211-08 35th Avenue, Bayside, NY. 11361

Richard M. Gutierrez

Attorney at Law



Concentrates in:
CRIMINAL & PERSONAL INJURY LAW
PROFESSIONAL DISCIPLINE COMPLAINTS
"Serving The Community over 30 yrs."

THE FOREST HILLS TOWER

118-35 Queens Blvd., Suite: 1500 Forest Hills

718-377-7173 | Richlaw101@aol.com

richardgutierrezlaw.com



Simeon F. Saturn

Certified Public Accountant

- Tax Return Preparation
- Business Consulting
- Accounting

In private practice and serving the five boroughs for 40 years with reasonable rates

Call me to discuss your tax issues or to set an appointment.

Call 718-979-2141 • Kew Garden Hills, NY



PROFESSIONAL REAL ESTATE SERVICES

Alex Tembelis
ASSOCIATE BROKER

Alex Cell: 646.512.0704
Alex.Tembelis@gmail.com

Weichert
REALTORS
TMT Group

Flushing: 19920 32nd Ave., Flushing, NY 11358



D'ANGELO LAW ASSOCIATES, PC.

YOUR TRUSTS & ESTATES ATTORNEY

Wills & Trusts • Estate Planning
Asset Protection • Probate

Estate Administration • Elder Law • Real Estate

WWW.DANGELOLAWASSOCIATES.COM

info@dangelolawassociates.com
TEL: NASSAU (516) 222-1122 • TEL: QUEENS (718) 776-7475
GARDEN CITY OFFICE: 901 STEWART AVE., SUITE 230, GARDEN CITY, NY 11530

MY WAY CONSTRUCTION

We will Not be Undersold!

- Roofing • Siding • Brick Pointing
- Brick & Pavers • Cement Work
- Basements • Bathrooms • Windows
- Violations Removed • Sheetrock & Painting

Lic. and Insured

718-598-9754

Lic. #1244131

Supreme Court Committee Update:

The resumption of a limited amount of jury trials, Compliance conferences and a comment on the premature filing of a note of issue



A lot has happened in the New Year in the Supreme Court, Queens County. Compliance conferences have resumed in full force, with virtual appearances, if necessary. Toward the end of February, OCA announced the resumption of limited jury trials. This article will summarize these developments.

After OCA announced a statewide resumption of a limited amount of jury trials, Justice Marguerite Grays, the Administrative Judge of Supreme Court, Queens County, 11th Judicial District, Civil Term, reached out to members of the Supreme Court Committee to schedule a meeting to discuss the plans. That meeting was held on February 26, 2021. We were advised that the model for trials they are using is similar to the one they used last Fall before the trials were terminated statewide due to the spike in Covid cases. It should be noted that QCBA has come out against the early resumption of jury trials and a letter was sent out by QCBA president Clifford Welden in that regard. As of now, there are no plans to resume in person jury trials Civil Court, Queens County.

Here is a summary of the plans;

The Court is looking to hold 2 to 3 trials at one time. They will be using the third floor courtroom in Long Island City which is a large courtroom with lots of space. (For those who have never been there, it is one of the most beautiful courtrooms in the metropolitan area.) They will also be using courtroom 25 in Jamaica, which is the Trial Scheduling Part room, which is also a very large. They may also schedule a third case as backup in case one of the two settles, but it is unclear at this time if that will be done.

The Court will start designating cases that were assigned for trial immediately before the pandemic, and which had already been assigned to an IAS judge. After that, it is unclear how they will select cases for trial from the ever growing backlog of cases due to the pandemic.

In particular, they are looking for summary jury trials and very short liability trials, if possible in order to reduce the time and general exposure for everyone. If there is a summary jury trial agreed to by the parties where an evidentiary hearing has been held, likely those parties will be contacted to appear for trial. They will also schedule evidentiary hearings on any agreed to matter, and likely schedule those for subsequent trial as well.

They will entertain Covid health-related applications for the attorneys and their clients. They strongly indicated that they would consider all health related applications, but gave no firm guarantee of an adjournment; but would likely consider all of the circumstances and will try to accommodate such requests. IF YOU, YOUR CLIENT, OR A WITNESS HAS A HEALTH RELATED APPLICATION, IT IS INCUMBENT ON YOU TO RAISE THIS WITH THE COURT IMMEDIATELY UPON BEING NOTIFIED OF A TRIAL DATE. Do not expect the court to make such an inquiry. Silence is effectively consent to proceed.

They will gladly take volunteers who are ready to try their cases as opposed to forcing people to try the cases. Both sides volunteering should consent to the limited trial or summary jury trial. In this regard, they would also consider short liability trials if the parties stipulate that that the damages trial will be adjourned into the future when full-blown jury trials can hopefully resume. Both parties would have to consent. We would suggest that any such agreement would include a waiver of pre-trial interest upon the liability verdict and instead set interest to run from the date of the damages verdict. This might entice a reluctant defense attorney to proceed even if it takes a considerable amount of time to reach damages.

Should there be a health issue with a witness or a client, the Court will consider remote appearances utilizing a TV screen in front of a jury and have that witness/party testify remotely. This must be done on consent of the parties. Otherwise this will not be allowed.

Wearing masks by all participants in the process, including jurors, witnesses, attorneys and court personnel, will be a requirement for trying cases under the current circumstances with no exceptions.

They will allow windows to be opened in the two courtrooms mentioned. It would be our strong recommendation that anybody who does a trial consider requesting, or insisting that the windows be opened to enhance airflow.

Jurors will be summoned to the normal place for civil trials in the Civil Court building on Sutphin Boulevard, first floor juror assembly area. They will be bringing in a limited number of jurors compared to normal to accommodate spacing requirements. We do not think that these jurors will be pre-screened regarding their comfort level with the current Covid environment, and they may have to be questioned by the parties about this in jury selection. The Court will be using overflow areas to accommodate the jurors during the trial, likely other courtrooms. When the parties first appear for trial, jury selection in Long Island City is unlikely. Jury selection may occur on the same day in Jamaica.

This is where we stand as of now regarding jury trials. All of this could change depending on an increase or decrease in the number of COVID cases and we will advise of same when we hear.

Summary bench trials will continue if agreed upon by the parties. Over time they may be allowed to be tried in person as things improve, but for now assume it will be a virtual experience. Parties are encouraged to use this forum if it works for their needs.

Compliance conferences re-started on January 11, 2021. The form is available on the 11th Judicial District website along with instructions. The forms are to be filled out and e mailed to the compliance conference part for all non-matrimonial, non-commercial and non-medical malpractice cases.

Attorneys need to virtually collaborate on these forms and return them to the compliance conference

Part. When the link is clicked the form downloads in your web browser (Edge, Chrome, Safari or other browser) to a fillable form. It is recommended that you adjust your computers to download the document in an Adobe PDF form so it retains its "fillable" characteristics. Currently there is only room for 4 parties and the court is working on additions to same. If the parties cannot agree to the discovery there is a place on page 7 to request a conference. When the form is completed by all counsel, do not upload via NYSCEF but e-mail it to the Compliance Part at: cscp@nycourts.gov. The finalized order signed by the court will be filed on NYSCEF. If the Parties do not email a completed form, the court will likely send a form order instructing the parties to file one by a given date.

As to any parties' failure to comply with the terms of the compliance conference order, the form indicates that the aggrieved party should seek "prompt relief" or it may be waived. Although there is no codification of time indicated as to what the definition of "prompt relief" is, the court gave us guidance as to about 10 days. These forms were drafted in accordance with the new uniform rules which require parties to confer with each other on discovery disputes so be guided accordingly. It is suggested that all attorneys follow the form and read the Instructions carefully. For the second Compliance conference the court may or may not schedule a virtual conference via Microsoft Teams. Justice Lancman and Justice Catapano-Fox are managing the compliance part.

As to cases previously "stayed" in the compliance part Pre or Post note of issue, by Justice Healy or Justice Esposito, there are several possibilities. Where discovery is complete ask for a conference or a stipulation to lift the stay and declare discovery complete. The court may still hold a virtual conference. Where the case was stayed Pre-note of issue email the compliance part and request a conference. The court will lift the stay and likely issue a discovery order. If the case was stayed post note of issue, a conference should be requested and the court will supervise discovery and deal with the issues. The main goal for these stayed case is to get them moving along to a resolution.

There is also a certification order for parties to certify that all discovery is complete. It is strongly recommended that parties start using this form to avoid unnecessary motion practice. It is unclear if there is a requirement that this form be used in all cases or only those where there is no Note of issue filing deadline. We are seeking guidance on this.

Finally, a word about filing a note of issue when discovery is not complete or improperly stating discovery is complete on the Note of Issue. While this has been case law for many years in the Second Department, Queens County Civil Term spent many years ignoring the case law and pushing cases along even if there was outstanding discovery. When Justice George Silver be-

CONTINUED ON PAGE 12

Supreme Court Committee Update:

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 11

came the acting Administrative judge he said that he was discontinuing the policy. What the policy is currently remains unclear. We have seen the courts vacate a note of issues in some cases.

A recent case is illustrative of the problems of filing a note of issue prematurely or with false certification as to completed discovery.

In *Andujar v Boyle*, 190 A.D.3d 902, ___N.Y.S.3d___, (2nd Dept. 2021), the Second Department addressed some of these issues. In *Andujar*, the case involved a motor vehicle accident. The Supreme Court in Westchester had a 45 day deadline to file a summary judgment motion after the filing of the note of issue. At some point the plaintiff did file a note of issue, but failed to indicate if physical exams were completed. At some point thereafter, a court attorney referee advised the plaintiff that the note of issue was not properly filed. A second note of issue was filed about 15 days later. The plaintiff then moved for summary judgment more than 45 days after the first filing. [Plaintiff was moving to declare that she had a serious Injury pursuant to Insurance law 5102], and the Supreme Court ruled it was untimely based upon the earlier note of issue filing. The court commented as follows in affirming for different reasons than the untimeliness [Physical examinations had not been held];

“Pursuant to Uniform Rules for Trial Courts, a note of issue must be accompanied by a certificate of readiness, which must state that there are no outstanding requests for discovery and the case is ready for trial” (*McKiernan v. Vaccaro*, 168 A.D.3d 827, 829, 91 N.Y.S.3d 478, quoting *Slovney v. Nasso*, 153 A.D.3d 962, 962, 61 N.Y.S.3d 568; see 22 NYCRR 202.21[a], [b]; *Furrukh v. Forest Hills Hosp.*, 107 A.D.3d 668, 669, 966 N.Y.S.2d 497). Here, the certificate of readiness filed with the first note of issue on February 19, 2019, failed to indicate whether physical examinations were completed and whether medical reports were exchanged. As the first certificate of readiness failed to materially comply with the requirements of 22 NYCRR 202.21, this note of issue was a nullity (see *McKiernan v. Vaccaro*, 168 A.D.3d at 829, 91 N.Y.S.3d 478; *Slovney v. Nasso*, 153 A.D.3d at 962, 61 N.Y.S.3d 568; *Furrukh v. Forest Hills Hosp.*, 107 A.D.3d at 669, 966 N.Y.S.2d 497). As that note of issue was a nullity, the plaintiff’s time to move for summary judgment began to run when the new note of issue was filed on March 6, 2019. Thus, the plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment was timely.

We recommend that all be guided accordingly as there are pitfalls to an improper filing of a note of issue.

The Supreme Court committee continues to monitor developments in the courts in Queens and we will advise the members of the QCBA. Of any new developments. Stay well and safe and we hope that all of those who want to can get vaccinated soon.

BY MICHAEL D. ABNERI ESQ

NEIL R. FINKSTON, ESQ.



Benefit from a Reliable and Knowledgeable Appellate Specialist
Former Member of Prominent Manhattan Firm
Available for Appeals, Motions and Trial Briefs
Experienced in Developing Litigation Strategies

**Free Initial Consultation
Reasonable Rates**

Law Office of Neil R. Finkston
8 Bond St., Suite 401, Great Neck, NY 11021
(516) 441-5230
FinkstonLaw.com • Neil@FinkstonLaw.com



PRIVATE INVESTIGATORS

- Background Investigations
- Due Diligence Investigations
- Investigative Services
- Witness Statements
- Surveillance
- Missing Persons Investigation
- Compliance Monitoring
- Asset Searches
- Armed & Unarmed Security Personnel

Experienced team of investigators based in Forest Hills NY, ready to provide the following services in a timely and cost-effective manner.

CALL For a Free Consultation (347) 809-2300
BCI Security & Investigations
71-50 Austin Street, Suite 208 – Forest Hills, NY 11375
Info@bcireports.com • www.BCIReports.com
Licensed by NYS Dept. of State

JOHNE. LAWLOR, ESQ.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Securities Litigation and Arbitration. State and Federal

30+ years handling securities litigation / arbitration

Contingent and hourly fee arrangements available:

Free Consultation



516-248-7700 | JLawlor@johnelawlor.com

www.johnelawlor.com

129 Third Street, Mineola 11501



**QUEENS
Daily Eagle**

For Legal Advertising in the
Queens Daily Eagle
and assistance filing notices

Contact Gina Ong, Legal Advertising Manager
Legals@queenspublicmedia.com
718-643-9099 x107
718-643-9099 x105

Bernard Lee Broome (1932 - 2021)

Our father, Bernard L. Broome, Esq., a member of the Queens County Bar Association for nearly 50 years, passed away on February 19th, 2021. He was born in 1932 in Brooklyn, NY. He graduated from Brooklyn Law School in 1953. He was a trial attorney and a member of the Queens County Bar Association, New York State Bar Association and New York State Trial Lawyers Association.



He was a Korean war veteran.

He was a loving son, brother, husband, father, uncle and grandfather. He was an incredibly kind, generous, altruistic and fun loving person. A good and loyal friend, who was an incredible story teller and was quite funny.

He made an enormous difference in a positive way in so many people's lives.

He relished and savored the joy that life can be.

He was an upbeat and positive person, always seeing the good in people and situations. The glass was always full

We will miss and remember him dearly.

BY NEIL M. BROOME AND ROBIN KIER

Editor's Note: Neil M. Broome and Robin Kier are Bernard Broome's children.

FLORIDA ATTORNEY

LAW OFFICES OF RANDY C. BOTWINICK

Formerly of Pazer, Epstein, Jaffe & Fein

CONCENTRATING IN PERSONAL INJURY

- Car Accidents
- Defective Products
- Slip & Falls
- Tire & Rollover Cases
- Maritime
- Traumatic Brain Injury
- Wrongful Death
- Construction Accidents

Co-Counsel and Participation Fees Paid



RANDY C. BOTWINICK
34 Years Experience



JAY HALPERN
39 Years Experience

Now associated with Halpern, Santos and Pinkert, we have obtained well over \$100,000,000 in awards for our clients during the last three decades. This combination of attorneys will surely provide the quality representation you seek for your Florida personal injury referrals.

MIAMI
150 Alhambra Circle,
Suite 1100, Coral Gables, FL 33134
P: 305-895-5700 F: 305-445-1169

PALM BEACH
2385 NW Executive Center Drive
Suite 100, Boca Raton, FL 33431
P: 561-995-5001 F: 561-962-2710

Toll Free: 1-877-FLA-ATTY (352-2889)

From Orlando to Miami... From Tampa to the Keys
www.personalinjurylawyer.ws



QUEENS COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION

90-35 148th Street, Jamaica, NY 11435 • Tel 718-291-4500 • Fax 718-657-1789 • www.QCBA.org • CLE@QCBA.ORG

Clifford M. Welden, President

Professional Development Committee in conjunction with the
Queens County Women's Bar Association presents

TRAILBLAZING WOMEN

**Celebrating Women in the Law
Past and Present**

Monday, March 22, 2021 1:00 pm – 2:15 pm

PANELISTS:

HON. MARGUERITE GRAYS
Administrative Judge – Civil Term 11th District

HON. MARGARET PARISI MCGOWAN
Justice, Supreme Court – Queens County

HON. CLAUDIA LANZETTA
Judge, Civil Court- Queens County

SOMA SYED, ESQ.
President, Queens County Women's Bar Assn
Soma Syed & Associates

DIANA GIANTURCO, ESQ.
Per Diem Appearances

KRISTEN J. DUBOWSKI BARBA, ESQ.
Queens Volunteer Lawyers Project

SULLIVAN & KEHOE LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Counselors to the Profession

Social Security Disability
Veterans Disability

1-800-395-7830
www.sullivanandkehoe.com

Attorney Advertising

To Advertise in the QCBA Bulletin

Please Contact Michael Nussbaum
at (917) 783-0649,
or email: michael@queenspublicmedia.com

Immigration Bankruptcy

MARICHAL & CARBONE, PLLC

Immigration • Bankruptcy • Divorce
Estates & Wills • Power of Attorney
Accident Cases
Real Estate - Commercial,
Residential & Business

34 YEARS EXPERIENCE

FREE CONSULTATION WITH AD

718-779-5551

37-21 75th Street, 2nd Floor, Jackson Heights, NY 11372
Macklawyers75@gmail.com

ESTATES WANTED!

Gold, Antiques, Mid-Century & Pre-1950
Furniture, Paintings, Rugs, Sterling Silver,
Bronzes, Jewelry, Bric-a-Brac, Marble
Figures, Marble Top Furniture, Rugs.

Top \$\$\$ Paid

SHERBEE ANTIQUES - Est. 1947

718.762.7449 / 917.748.7622

Andrew Korman, Proprietor

ALL ACCESS CHIMNEY, FIREPLACE AND DRYER SPECIALIST



Licensed, Insured and Bonded

Our ratings speak for themselves!
Incredible step-by-step videos & before and after photos.
Why go anywhere else?

Let's get the job done right the first time!
All your Chimney, Fireplace and Dryer vent needs.

Michelle Yarrobino - All Access Chimney
516.526.3227



**QUEENS
COUNTY
BAR
ASSOCIATION**

**LAWYER
REFERRAL
SERVICE**

**APPLICATION &
REGISTRATION
FORM**

90-35 148th St.,
Jamaica, NY 11435
T: 718-291-4500
F: 718-657-1789

Name: _____ First Middle Last DOB: _____
 Firm Name: _____ Practice consists of: ___ Trial work ___ Office work
 Address: _____
 Telephone number(s): _____ E-Mail: _____
 Date of Admission to the NYS Bar _____ Admission to Other Jurisdictions _____

List two attorneys or judges (*none of whom are my present partners and associates*) who have knowledge of professional work and ability:

Languages other than English that you speak fluently: _____ or Office offers translation: _____

I will accept cases in the area(s) checked below (limit to five). I consider myself competent in such areas. Please indicate any specialties or exclusions within area of law.

AREAS OF PRACTICE	SPECIALTIES	EXCLUSIONS
<input type="checkbox"/> Adoptions		
<input type="checkbox"/> Bankruptcy		
<input type="checkbox"/> Civil Rights		
<input type="checkbox"/> Civil Service		
<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial		
<input type="checkbox"/> Consumer Law		
<input type="checkbox"/> Elder/Guardianship Law		
<input type="checkbox"/> Criminal Law		
<input type="checkbox"/> Decedent's Estates, Wills & Trusts		
<input type="checkbox"/> Domestic Relations (Family Law)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Immigration & Naturalization		
<input type="checkbox"/> Insurance		
<input type="checkbox"/> Juvenile Delinquency Matters		
<input type="checkbox"/> Labor Relations & Unemployment Compensation		
<input type="checkbox"/> Landlord & Tenant		
<input type="checkbox"/> Libel & Slander		
<input type="checkbox"/> Malpractice		
<input type="checkbox"/> Negligence		
<input type="checkbox"/> Patent, Trademark, Copyright, Unfair Competition		
<input type="checkbox"/> Real Property		
<input type="checkbox"/> Taxation		
<input type="checkbox"/> Social Security Appeals (SSI, SSD)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Claims		
<input type="checkbox"/> Traffic Law		
<input type="checkbox"/> Worker's Compensation		

LAWYER REFERRAL SERVICE DUES PAYMENT

Registration fee with one area law **\$395.00** Additional area(s) of law (limit four) **\$ 100.00/per area**

Amount \$ _____ Check MasterCard Visa AMEX Discover

Credit Card Number _____ Exp. Date ____/____/____ CSC: _____

The undersigned hereby applies for registration on the panel of the Lawyer Referral Service of the Queens County Bar Association **and is also a member in good standing**. He/she certifies that he/she is familiar with its procedures and that he/she will abide by all rules which may be promulgated by the Association and agrees to be bound thereby.

Date of Application: _____ Signature of Applicant _____

Doing Business Has Its Rewards

Flushing Bank's **Business Value Program** rewards new **Complete Business Checking** customers with a **gift card** valued up to **\$1,500**.^{1,3}



Open a new Flushing Bank **Complete Business Checking** account and you will be eligible to receive a **gift card** valued up to **\$1,500**.^{1,3} Plus, all new business checking accounts can get a **CASH BONUS** up to **\$200**.^{1,2}

For more information, visit your local **Flushing Bank** branch, call **800.581.2889** (855.540.2274 TTY/TDD) or go to **FlushingBank.com**.

Come visit our **new branch** at
89-12 Sutphin Blvd, Jamaica, NY 11435.

**Small enough to know you.
Large enough to help you.®**

FLUSHING
Commercial ■ Business ■ Consumer **Bank**

1) New business checking account with new money only. Existing business checking account customers are not eligible. A new business checking account is defined as any new business checking account that does not have any authorized signatures in common with any other existing Flushing Bank business checking account(s). An existing checking customer is defined as anyone who currently has or has had a Flushing Bank checking account within the last 24 months. New money is defined as money not currently on deposit with Flushing Bank. 2) You must deposit a minimum of \$100 to open a business checking account. No minimum balance required to be eligible for the Bonus. You will receive \$100 for the completion of 5 debit card purchases. And \$100 for the completion of 5 online banking bill-payments via Flushing Bank's Online Banking portal. Each debit card purchase and each online bill-payment must be \$25 or more and must be completed prior to 60 days after the account is opened. THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT A BUSINESS CHECKING CUSTOMER CAN RECEIVE IS \$200. The compensation will be credited to the checking account on or about the end of the month following the completion of the qualifying transactions. A 1099 will be issued. Other fees and restrictions may apply. 3) A minimum opening deposit of \$15,000 is required in the Complete Business Checking account to qualify for the Value Program gift card. The gift card tier is based on the 90-day average balance of the new Complete Business Checking account. The minimum 90-day average is \$15,000 to qualify for the minimum gift card tier. The 90-day average balance tiers and single load 12-month Visa® gift card values are as follows: Tier 1: \$15,000 - \$24,999 a \$200 gift card, Tier 2: \$25,000-\$74,999 a \$350 gift card, Tier 3: \$75,000-\$149,999 a \$600 gift card, Tier 4: \$150,000 - \$249,999 a \$1,000 gift card, and Tier 5: \$250,000+ a \$1,500 gift card. Notwithstanding the Business Value Program, a minimum deposit of \$100 is required to open the Complete Business Checking account. All offers are subject to change and termination without prior notice at any time. Speak with a Flushing Bank representative for more details Flushing Bank is a registered trademark

